

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexasofan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.nepto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/816,396 | 03/31/2004 | Benjamin D. McDaniel | 51992/AW/W112 | 9596 |
| | 7590 07/01/200 ARKER & HALE, LLP | EXAMINER | | |
| PO BOX 7068 | | PEFFLEY, MICHAEL F | | |
| PASADENA, CA 91109-7068 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3739 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/01/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|
| 10/816,396 | MCDANIEL ET AL. | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| Michael Peffley | 3739 | | |

| | Michael Peffley | 3739 | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|---------------------|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 15 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request | | | | | | | |
| for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | FR 1.114. The reply must be filed | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 5 months from the mailing date | | | | | | | |
| The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (| ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(| n). | | | | | | |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | | | | | | | |
| nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ext under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | hortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat | nally set in the final Office | e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| NOTICE OF APPEAL | | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t | out prior to the date of filing a brief, | will not be entered be | cause | | | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor | | ΓE below); | | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | | | | | | |
| (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet | ter form for appeal by materially rec | ducing or simplifying t | ne issues for | | | | |
| appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c | corresponding number of finally rais | noted alaims | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | cteu ciairis. | | | | | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | | DTOL 204) | | | | |
| | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s)would be all non-allowable claim(s). | _ | | | | | | |
| For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov | | i be entered and an ex | xpianation of | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | nded below of appended. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4.7.11-15.17-22 and 31-33</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation | | | | | | | |
| REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | | | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 13. 🔲 Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

/Michael Peffley/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3739 Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments include new limitations not previously considered.